Annex I - Dental Payments

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Inti	roduction	2
	Level 1	
	Level 2	
	Level 3	
	Level 4	

Introduction

The following sections detail the payment verification requirements for General Dental Services.

Practitioner Services (Dental) operates under the aegis of the Scottish Dental Practice Board (SDPB) whose powers are set out in statutory regulations.

Practitioner Services (Dental) operates a computerised payments system (MIDAS) as well as an optical character recognition system (iDent), both of which undertake extensive pre-payment validation on dental payment claims. Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) is now accepted by MIDAS and the checks noted below apply equally to scanned paper claim input and data fed through EDI.

The PV team in Dental is known as the monitoring team and includes professionally qualified dental advisers. The team works in close co-operation with the Information Services Division of NSS.

1. Level 1

Item of Service Claims

The payments system will automatically carry out Level 1 checks for 100% of claims including:

- each claimed code is validated against the Statement of Dental Remuneration, and any provisos or time-limits that apply to it. This determines the fee to be paid for the item
- checking that the total value is below the prior approval limit;
- > the completion of mandatory fields:
- duplication of claims by comparing patient, date and type of treatment claimed
- > existence of dentist signatures and authorised identification stamp;
- existence of patient signature;
- checking the patients date of birth for age exemption

Prior Approval

All claims above the Prior Approval limit require to be submitted for checking before treatment is carried out. These are assessed for both clinical and financial appropriateness.

This Level 1 check is equivalent to over 1% of all claims made for the GDS in Scotland, annually.

Capitation and Continuing care

Capitation and continuing care payments are based on the numbers and ages of the patients registered with the dentist. These details are in the main gathered from item of service claims where these record activity which registers the patient with the dentist or rolls over the three year registration time limit.

Allowances

Allowances are either based on existing data held within Midas (eg General Dental Practice Allowance and Commitment Payment) or they are the subject of separate claims submitted by the dentist or practice. Where claims are submitted, these are subject to level 1 checks to ensure that claim information appears correct and as they are paid through MIDAS they are checked for existence of the dentist and for duplicate claims

Patient Charges

Patient charges are checked at level 1 by the system confirming age exemption, by checking for the existence of the patient signature signifying exemption and checking the monetary amount entered by the patient on the claim form.

2. Level 2

The Dental monitoring team will carry out a trend analysis provided by the MIDAS system and Business Objects and will carry out more detailed examinations of a minimum 1% sample of contractors, in respect of:

- items of service (risk assessed);
- items claimed where the patient does not pay the statutory charge;
- patient registration and list size;
- level of earnings;
- cost per claim and throughput.

3. Level 3

Where the outcome of the above checking proves unsatisfactory or inconclusive the monitoring team will undertake Level 3 checking as appropriate including:

- Monitoring meetings at PSD
- trapping all claims from an identified practitioner or group of practitioners, prior to payment, for further assessment;
- applying the 'prior approval by targeting' regulation;
- applying the 'special prior approval' process;
- sampling patient record cards;
- detailed assessment of the dentists' prescribing patterns in conjunction with patient histories and record cards;
- referral of the patient for clinical examination by the Scottish Dental Reference Service to confirm that the treatment was provided.

Counter Fraud Services follow-up on a sample of patient claims for exemption from charges with tax and benefits authorities and, if necessary, with the patients themselves.

4. Level 4

Practitioner Services (Dental) does not carry out practice visits. However, it does, through the Scottish Dental Reference Service (SDRS), undertake random and targeted (Level 3) examinations of patients. There are approximately 7,000 referrals made to the SDRS annually which equates to 0.2% of all claims for treatment for the GDS in Scotland.

The checks undertaken by the SDRS include:

- existence of patient;
- confirmation of registration with dentist;
- confirmation of signature and exemption status check;
- > requirement for items of service (as applicable);
- provision of items of service;
- standard of treatment.

Any practitioner who receives an unsatisfactory report from the SDRS, in relation to the validity or standard of treatment provided to his/her patient, is automatically referred to the NHS Board and back for assessment at Level 3 and may have further cases selected and referred to the SDRS.

Consideration will be given in all cases where mis-claims are found as to whether a tripartite meeting between the NHS Board, Practitioner Services and NHS Counter Fraud Services should take place. This decision and the reasons for it will be recorded in the documentation.

Payment Verification Protocol - Medical

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	2
Payment Verification for Global Sum	
Payment Verification for Temporary Patient Adjustment (TPA)	
Payment Verification for Additional Services	
Payment Verification for Payments for a Specific Purpose	
Payment Verification for Section 17c Contract	
Payment Verification for Seniority	
Payment Verification for Enhanced Services	
Payment Verification for the Quality and Outcomes Framework	14
QOF Points Value 14	
QOF Data Gathering & Reporting 14	
QOF Review 15	
QOF Payment Verification Methodology 16	
QOF Payment Verification Visits 18	
GP Practice System Security	20
Appendix A – GMS Payment Verification Checklist	21
Appendix B – Clinical Inspection of Medical Records/Practice Visits	28
Appendix C - QOF Year End Pre-Payment Verification	32
Appendix D – QOF Tables	35

1. Introduction

The following sections detail the payment verification requirements for Primary Medical Services.

The verification arrangements outlined will require local negotiation between NHS Boards and Practitioner Services on implementation. This should ensure that a consistent approach is taken to payment verification irrespective of who performs it (reference Appendix A – GMS PV Checklist).

Each of the three Practitioner Services Regional Offices supports a dedicated Medical PV team to undertake the required payment verification work. These teams work in close co-operation with their respective NHS Boards and colleagues in the other Medical departments to ensure co-ordination in payment verification and related activities.

Enhanced Services

This document includes direction on payment verification for all enhanced services, i.e. Directed, National and Local/Scottish Enhanced Services Programme. The payment verification outlined in this paper provides basic principles that should be adhered to when agreeing the payment verification required for an enhanced service.

Retention of Evidence

Practices are required to retain evidence to substantiate the validity of payments relating to the GMS Contract. The requirement for this evidence will be in line with that detailed in the Contract, in the Statement of Financial Entitlements or in locally negotiated contract documentation. It is particularly important to retain evidence that is generated by the running of a computer generated search, as this provides the most reliable means of supplying data, should practices be required to do so, that fully reconciles with the claim submitted. CEL 31 (2010) 'Records management: NHS Code of practice (Scotland)' provides a schedule listing the retention period for financial records in NHS Scotland. This specifies six years plus the current year as minimum retention period for most financial records. For the avoidance of doubt this would relate to any information used to support a payment to the GP Practice.

Data Protection

PCA (M)(2005) 10, Confidentiality & Disclosure of Information Code of Practice, illustrates the circumstances under which disclosure of patient identifiable data may be made in relation to checking entitlement to payments and management of health services. The guidance contained in this document is consistent with this code of practice.

The practice visit protocol, contained as Appendix B in this document, pays particular attention to minimising the use of identifiable personal data in the payment verification process. The use of clinical input is recommended to streamline the process, provide professional consistency, and limit the amount of investigation necessary in validating service provision.

Premises and IT Costs

Expenditure on premises and IT will be met through each Board's internal payment systems and as such will be subject to probity checks through the Board's normal control processes. There is therefore no payment verification required. Where Practitioner Services are required to make payments on behalf of NHS Boards these will be checked for correct authorisation.

Payment Verification for Global Sum

METHOD

The Global Sum is the payment to GP Contractors for delivering essential and additional services. A GP Practice's allocation is dependant on their share of the Scottish workload, based on a number of weighting factors (reference Annexe B, Scottish Allocation Formula, GMS Statement of Financial Entitlements).

The most significant risk to the Health Board share of the Global Sum is the accuracy of data held on the Community Health Index (CHI).

The verification of the data held on the CHI is achieved in a number of ways. Although the intent of these control and verification processes is primarily focussed on the accuracy of patient data for health administration purposes, assurance can be taken from the existence and application of many of these controls for payment verification purposes. The following controls and processes are used to verify GP Practice Population List Size and weighting factors:

System/Process Generated Controls

- All new patient registrations transferred electronically via PARTNERS to the Community Health Index (CHI) are subject to an auto-matching process against existing CHI records. If a patient cannot be auto-matched further information is requested from the GP Practice so that positive patient identification can be ensured.
- All patient addresses transferred by PARTNERS to CHI are subject to an auto-post coding process to ensure validity of address within the Health Board Area.
- All deceased patients are automatically deducted from the GP Practice on CHI using an interface file from NHS Central Register (information being derived from General Register of Scotland). Patients registering elsewhere in the UK are deducted from the GP Practice on CHI following matching by NHS Central Register.
- Patients are automatically deducted from GP Practice on registration with another GP Practice in Scotland.
- All patients confirmed as no longer residing at address are removed on CHI and automatically deducted from GP Practice lists via PARTNERS
- Quarterly archiving of GP Practice systems and generation of PARTNERS reports ensures that all patient transactions (acceptances and deductions) have been completed by the GP Practice.
- All patients whose address is an exact match with a Care Home address will automatically have a Care Home indicator inserted on CHI
- Where new patient registrations are not transferred by PARTNERS manual scrutiny of registration forms is undertaken.
- Registration Teams check unmatched patients (without CHI number) to NHS Central Register database to ensure positive patient identification.

Random Checking

- Validation on patient data for a minimum of 10% of GP Practices annually via Patient Information Comparison Test (PICT) to ensure that patient data on CHI and on GP systems match. The following fields can be validated:-
 - 1. Date of Birth and Sex differences
 - 2. Name differences
 - 3. Unmatched patients
 - 4. Patients on CHI but not on practice system
 - 5. Patients who have left the practice
 - 6. GP Reference Differences
 - 7. Address differences
 - 8. Possible duplicates
 - 9. Missing CHI Postcodes
 - 10. Mileage differences

Targeted Checking

- Manual scrutiny of registration forms where there is concern regarding the quality of registration data submitted via PARTNERS
- Data Quality work which contributes to the removal of patients from CHI:-
 - 1. UK and Scottish Duplicate Patient matching exercises to ensure that patients are only registered with one GP Practice.
 - 2. Bi-annual short term residency checks on patients such as, Students, c/o Addresses, Holiday Parks, or Immigrant status
 - 3. Annual checks on patients aged over 100
 - 4. Quarterly checks on Care Home Residents
 - 5. All mail to patients (medical card or enquiry circular) that is returned in post is followed up with the GP Practice and where appropriate patients are removed from CHI and from the GP Practice list.
- Validation on patient data via PiCT for capitation dispute, data quality concerns or system migration (fields as above).

Payment Verification Practice Visit

• Where patient registration data is submitted via PARTNERS the Payment Verification visiting team will check a sample of recent patient registrations to ensure that General Practice Registration Form (GPR) has been completed and retained by the practice as verification that a contract between the GP Practice and the patient exists.

Trend Analysis

- Monitoring of levels of the following using the Quarterly Summary Totals report by Health Board Area -
 - 1. Capitation Totals by age/sex bands
 - 2. Patients in Care Homes registered with the practice in the last 12 months
 - 3. Patients in Care Homes registered with the practice more than 12 months ago
 - 4. All other patients registered with the practice in the last 12 months
 - 5. All other patients registered with the practice more than 12 months ago
 - 6. Number of Dispensing Patients
 - 7. Number of Mileage patients

- Monitoring of levels of the following through Key Performance Indicators using the Quarterly Summary Run:-
 - 1. Number of new registrations in CHI in quarter
 - 2. Number of patients removed from CHI as deceased
- Number of patients removed from CHI as moved out of Health Board Area
- Pre-Payment checking of quarterly payments being authorised by GP Practice on the value of the Global Sum Payment to ensure that variances no more than +/- 5% of the value of the previous quarter

OUTPUTS

> A Global Sum Verification Report will be generated on a quarterly basis

The report will detail the results of the checking and any actions taken as a result of the checks and provide recommendations to the Health Board.

Payment Verification for Temporary Patient Adjustment (TPA)

METHOD

To verify that the payment of the TPA is appropriate the following checks will be undertaken:

- Random sampling of GP Practice records for evidence of service provision at practice visit
- NHS Board complaint logs will be reviewed annually to identify complaints, or a pattern of complaints, that could indicate a lack of service provision. If an absence of service is found, this should be subject to further investigation, and if necessary further action taken.
- Where concerns exist over an absence of provision of service, a practice may be asked to demonstrate their process of recording instances where treatment of a temporary patient(s) has been refused.

The incorrect registration of temporary patients as permanent patients will be checked as part of the payment verification for Global Sum.

- Number of records checked at practice visit and results
- Record of check made to NHS Board Complaints log
- > Any necessary recommendations, actions and recoveries

Payment Verification for Additional Services

METHOD

To verify that these services are being provided, one or more of the following verification techniques will be undertaken:

- Practice Visit the purpose of which is to examine a percentage of patient records. Records to be reviewed will be selected at random. See Appendix B.
- Analysis of anonymised practice prescribing information.
- Review of practice activity information including national call/recall systems

- Number of records checked at practice visit and results
- > Details of information used to verify service provision
- ➤ Any necessary recommendations, actions and recoveries

Payment Verification for Payments for a Specific Purpose

METHOD

To verify that these payments are valid, source documentation will be reviewed as follows:

Maternity/Paternity/Adoption -

- Agree entitlement under appropriate employment legislation (length of absence, employment status, etc) under Statement of Financial Entitlements (SFE) 9.3
- Agree conditions of payment are met. (Cert. of confinement, letter stating paternity details, letter from adoption agency, confirmation of cost of locum cover) under SFE 9.7

Sick Leave -

- Agree entitlement under the SFE 10.3. (Length of absence, payment of SSP, absence of accident compensation).
- Agree necessity of locum cover under SFE 10.4
- Confirm prior approval from NHS Board under SFE 10.9.
- Check to Med. Certs and confirm cost of locum cover under SFE 10.9.

Suspensions -

- Agree entitlement under SFE 11.3 (Suspended GP on full income).
- Agree necessity of locum cover under SFE 11.4.
- Confirm cost of locum cover under SFE 11.7.

Study Leave -

- Agree entitlement under the SFE 12.2 (Study leave>= 10wks <= 12 months, approved by local Dir. of Postgraduate GP Education, determined by NHS Board as affordable, not paid elsewhere).
- Agree necessity of locum cover under SFE 12.6
- Confirm prior approval from NHS Board under SFE 12.9.
- Confirm cost of locum cover under SFE 12.9.

Golden Hello -

- Standard Agree entitlement under the SFE 14.2. (e.g. Minimum 1/5th of part-time posts, fixed term of >2 yrs, not previously employed as specified).
- Remote Confirm practice meets definition of remote & rural under SFE 14.4.1
- Deprived Confirm practice meets definition of deprived under SFE 14.4.2
- Confirm that <u>either</u> remote <u>or</u> deprived payment made (not both) under SFE 14.4.3
- Non Principal Doctors Agree entitlement under the SFE 14.2 (e.g. Min 1/5th of part-time posts, fixed term of >2 yrs, not previously employed as specified).

Recruitment -

- · Confirm appropriate receipts.
- Ensure application is within 12 months of the doctor taking up post.

Relocation -

Confirm submission of 3 competitive tenders.

Retainer Scheme -

- Confirm the contractor is a suitable employer of members of the Retainer Scheme
- Confirm the service sessions have been arranged by the Dir. of Postgraduate Education.

Adults with Incapacity -

- Analysis of outlier data
- Where outlier analysis suggests further investigation is required, seek confirmation with the independent health professional.

- Numbers and value of payments made by payment type and practice
- Any specific matters arising in the processing of payments

Payment Verification for Section 17c Contract

METHOD

Payments to practices holding section 17c contracts are split into two streams:

- Payments that map to those received by section 17j practices.
- Payments that are specific to their section 17c contract.

Payments that map to those received by section 17j practices are subject to the payment verification processes outlined elsewhere in this document.

To verify that payments specific to a section 17c contract are appropriate, these practices will be subject to NHS Boards contract monitoring processes which may involve:

- NHS Board quarterly review
- Analysis of practice produced statistics which demonstrate contract compliance
- Reviewing as appropriate section 17c contracts against other/new funding streams to identify and adjust any duplication of payment

- Number of records checked at practice visit and results
- Details of information used to verify service provision
- > Any necessary recommendations, actions and recoveries
- As per agreed local monitoring process

Payment Verification for Seniority

METHOD

When all existing GPs transferred to the new GMS contract in 2004 their Seniority claims were subject to a programme of payment verification checking which was completed in 2007. To verify that new claims for Seniority payments are valid, checks will be undertaken, prior to payment, as follows:

- Reasonableness of claim to check appropriateness of dates against information on form seems appropriate - General Medical Council (GMC) registration date, NHS service start date.
- · check for length of service
- · check eligibility of breaks in service
- where applicable check with Scottish Government (SG) for eligibility of non-NHS Service.

- details of new claimants received in quarter and level of seniority
- results and status of checking process

Payment Verification for Enhanced Services

INTRODUCTION

The method and output sections below provide generic guidance for the payment verification of all Enhanced Services. This includes Directed, National and Local services and those defined within the Scottish Enhanced Services Programme.

METHOD

To verify that these services are being provided the relevant specification for the service must be obtained. The practices compliance against this specification will be verified by one or more of the following techniques:

- Practice Visit the purpose of which is to examine a percentage of patient records. Records to be reviewed will be selected at random. See Appendix B.
- Analysis of anonymised practice prescribing information
- Analysis of GP Practice activity information
- Discussion of GP Practice policies and procedures
- Confirmation letters/surveys to patients
- Review of NHS Boards complaints log
- Discussion of how Extended Hours service was planned and organised. Checks to provide evidence that the service is being provided, (e.g. check against availability in the appointment system, notification of service availability to patients - practice leaflet, posters, etc)

- Results and status of checking process
- Details of information used to verify service provision
- Any necessary recommendations, actions and recoveries

Payment Verification for the Quality and Outcomes Framework

INTRODUCTION

The Quality & Outcomes Framework (QOF), as specified in the Statement of Financial Entitlements (SFE), rewards practices on the basis of the quality of care delivered to patients. Participation in the QOF is on a voluntary basis.

The framework contains four domains, one clinical and three non-clinical domains. Each domain contains a range of areas described by key indicators and each indicator describes different aspects of performance that a practice is required to undertake.

The four domains are:

- Clinical comprising 20 clinical areas.
- **Organisational** comprising 5 areas; Records & Information About Patients, Information for Patients, Education & Training, Practice Management, Medicines Management.
- Patient Experience comprising 2 areas; Length of Consultation and GP Patient Experience Survey.
- Additional Services comprising 4 areas; Cervical Screening, Child Health Surveillance, Maternity Services, Sexual Health

QOF Points Value

The overall number of points that a GP Practice can achieve is as follows:

Domain	Points
Clinical	697
Organisational	167.5
Patient Experience	91.5
Additional Services	44
TOTAL	1000

QOF Data Gathering & Reporting

A single national system (QOF Calculator) collects national achievement data, computes national disease prevalence rates and applies computations to calculate points and payments.

Data held within practice clinical systems forms the basis for a practice's achievement declaration in respect of each indicator within the clinical domain and a number of the indicators within the non-clinical domains. Clinical data recording is based on Read codes and only data that is useful and relevant to patient care should be collected i.e. it is not collected purely for audit purposes.

In relation to a number of other indicators within the non-clinical domains, practices declare their achievement via a "Yes/No" answer process and are required to retain written evidence as proof that they have met the requirements of the indicator.

The data for the remaining indicators comes from a source other than the practice:

• Payment for the CS1 indicator is actioned by Practitioner Services via the manual input of achievement data from the screening systems utilised by NHS Boards.

- Payment for the PE7/8 indicators is actioned by Practitioner Services via the manual input of achievement data from the GP Patient Experience Survey conducted by Better Together, Scotland's patient experience programme.
- Where practices have opted out of electronic submission, payment for the R15/18/20 indicators is actioned by Practitioner Services via the manual input of achievement data from claim forms submitted by practices.

QOF Review

The review of a Practice's achievement under the QOF involves four distinct processes:

Pre-Payment Checking –

- 1. The monitoring of practices on an ongoing basis to ascertain how their reported disease register sizes within QOF Calculator change and how they compare to the size of the disease register at the end of the preceding financial year.
- 2. Following the submission of a practice's QOF achievement declaration, NHS Boards and practices have a set period during which pre-payment verification must be carried out. It is only when this process is complete to the satisfaction of the NHS Board that the achievement declaration of each practice can be approved and payment made in respect of QOF. Practices and NHS Boards will sign off their achievement in accordance with the timetable set out in the SFE. Guidance to NHS Boards about how pre-payment verification may be undertaken as part of their annual assurance processes is provided in Appendix C.

Post Payment Checking –

- 3. All NHS Boards will have a practice review programme in place. Where this incorporates an element of QOF review then any significant issues arising from this process should be made available to be considered as part of payment verification.
- 4. A payment verification visit to provide assurance in respect of the validity of a practice's QOF achievements, and hence payment, for the preceding financial year. These visits will be on a random sample basis (five percent of practices/minimum of one, per year, per NHS Board). In addition, at the request of the NHS Board, visits may be carried out where, for example, the application of risk assessment or trend analysis suggests that this may be appropriate.

QOF Payment Verification Methodology

While the QOF contains four domains, for payment verification purposes it is more practical to group the indicators within these domains under the following three headings according to the type of evidence that a practice holds and where it is recorded. The indicators which comprise each of the headings are detailed in Appendix D.

A - Data Held Within a Patient Record

Each indicator within the clinical domain requires the recording of key data within a patient record, and in addition there are a number of indicators in the non-clinical domains that also require this type of recording. Given the large numbers of indicators of this nature five groupings have been developed to take cognisance of the effect the indicator has on payment, the indicator type, and the method of verification to be used.

1. Disease Register Integrity -

A patient's inclusion within a register should be verified via the review of other supporting clinical evidence held within the patient record. For example, a patient's inclusion within the Asthma register may be confirmed by the existence of disease-specific drugs and peak flow measurements within their patient record.

2. Trend Analysis of Blood Pressure Readings -

A sample of patients who have met these indicators should be identified and analysis of the historical blood pressure readings contained within their record should take place. This analysis should look at the trends within a patient's blood pressure readings over time, and increases/decreases in prescribing of anti-hypertensive therapy. Assurance should also be gained, where appropriate, by cross matching blood pressure readings to other evidence of face-to-face contact with the patient e.g. entries within the appointment book, records of house calls and information collected by other members of the Community Health Team.

3. Lab Tests -

A sample of patients who have met these indicators should be identified and the system recorded value cross-referenced to lab results. If lab results are automatically downloaded into the practice's system, then further verification is not required in respect of these indicators.

4. Data Recording -

Verification of these indicators is achieved via reference to the records of a sample of patients who have met the indicator in question. In addition, for indicators that involve a face-to-face contact, cross-matching to entries in the appointment book should take place. For indicators that relate to the carrying out of annual reviews, the record should be examined to ensure that all required aspects of the review are documented. The PC2 indicator may be verified by reference to the system for initiating and recording meetings.

5. Repeat Prescribing -

A sample of patients who have met these indicators should be identified and a check made to their medical record that they were prescribed the drug in question at the end of the contract year for which the payment was made. Consideration should be given to cross-referencing prescribing entries with data contained within the appointment book, however it should be noted that the primary source of repeat prescribing is not the GP/patient consultation, and this may be of limited value. Therefore, a "systems & processes" discussion should take place in order to assess the controls in place surrounding repeat prescribing within the practice. In particular, this discussion should identify how repeat prescribing records are established, updated, and who within the practice has authority to prepare and issue scripts.

Within each of these five groupings, the principle of "cross verification" has been utilised where possible. For example, CHD7, STROKE7 and DM16 are indicators within different disease areas that relate to the measuring of total cholesterol levels. It is not necessary to test all 3 indicators; if a satisfactory level of verification is achieved via the testing of Stroke patients who have met this indicator, it is reasonable to assume that an equally satisfactory level of verification will be achieved for Chronic Heart Disease and Diabetic patients who have met this indicator.

Exception Coding

In addition to the recording of key data for each indicator, practices may also record "exception codes" within a patient record. These codes exclude patients from the performance target for each indicator in order that practices are not penalised financially for patient characteristics which were beyond their reasonable control. In practical terms, this means that an accepted Read code has been entered into the patient's record to reflect a valid reason for exclusion.

A practice's use of exception coding will be assessed against 'New Guidance on Exception Reporting – October 2006' PCA (M)(2006) 15, and will include the review of supporting clinical evidence held within the patient record. For example, a patient who has been exception coded as Refused/Declined should have evidence within their patient record that they were invited on at least 3 separate occasions within the preceding 12 months.

During the verification of the Trend Analysis, Lab Tests, Data Validation, and Repeat Prescribing indicators, consideration should be given to instances where exception coding has assisted the practice in meeting the payment threshold.

B - Data Held Outwith a Patient Record

Within the 3 non-clinical domains there are a number of indicators which require practices to retain written evidence out-with the patient record as proof that they have met the requirements of the indicator.

Wherever possible, in order to minimise the volume of verification work undertaken, cognisance will be taken of the assurance gained from:

- the qualitative/supportive visits carried out in line with the 'Framework to Support the QOF Review Process in 2009/10 (Winter 5 Guidance).
- any review of evidence carried out by the NHS Board in relation to QOF pre-payment verification work.

Verification of non-clinical organisational indicators will be undertaken broadly in line with Quality and Outcomes Framework Section 4 in the Statement of Financial Entitlements and may include the following:

- Grade A 100% reviewed in advance and sample verified at practice visit
- Grade B/C sample verified at practice visit.

Verification of these indicators will include the inspection of written evidence and demonstration of the underlying systems and processes that a practice has in place.

C - Indicators Where External Verification is Relied Upon

There are three indicators where external verification is relied upon:

- Additional Services (CS1)
 - The achievement data held on screening systems is the subject of routine review by NHS Boards, with further independent verification being provided via the laboratory assessment of samples. No further specific verification is therefore required in respect of this indicator.
- Patient Experience (PE7/8)

The evidence of achievement has been independently collected by Better Together Scotland and the controls over verification of achievement rest within their internal controls assurance systems.

Following the application of the annual PV risk assessment, the selection of the indicators, in line with the methodology above, will result in the testing of at least 70% of the points achieved by a practice. In determining the sample spread across the groupings detailed above, cognisance will be taken of any locally known areas of risk or concern.

QOF Payment Verification Visits

The QOF payment verification visit may be carried out on its own, or at the same time as the Additional/Enhanced Services payment verification visit. It is for Practitioner Services and Boards to agree this locally, however it is recommended that the visit be made as close to the payment date as is possible. The visit will conform to the principles detailed in Appendix B – Clinical Inspection of Medical Records/Practice Visits.

Outputs

Pre -payment Checking

An analysis of how reported disease register sizes within QOF Calculator change, and how this compares to the size of a disease register at the end of the preceding financial year

Post Payment Checking

Further to the completion of a practice visit, a report will be produced which details the following:

- Information used to verify service provision
- Number of records checked and results
- Any necessary recommendations, actions and recoveries
- Level of assurance gained

GP Practice System Security

Payment verification practice visits comprehensively utilise data held within GP clinical systems, and it is therefore necessary to seek assurance that there are no issues regarding the reliability or the integrity of the systems that hold this data.

NHS Boards are responsible for the purchase, maintenance, upgrade and running costs of integrated IM&T systems for GP practices, as well as for telecommunications links within the NHS. Within each NHS Board area, assurances will be obtained that appropriate measures are in place to ensure the integrity of the data held within each GP Practice's clinical system.

In obtaining this level of assurance, consideration will be given to the following areas:

- An established policy on System Security should exist that all practices have access to and have agreed to abide by
- Administrator access to the system should only be used when performing relevant duties
- A comprehensive backup routine should exist, backup logs should be examined on a regular basis with issues being resolved where appropriate, and appropriate storage of backup media should occur
- Up to date anti-virus software should be installed, and be working satisfactorily

In addition, confirmation will be sought during a practice visit that each user has a unique login to the GP clinical system, that users keep their password confidential, and that they will log off when they are no longer using the system.

2. Appendix A – GMS Payment Verification Checklist

The table below is an illustrative example only. It will require expansion or amendment for local NHS Board agreement and implementation.

				ement and implen
Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
-	Auto matching via PARTNERS/Auto postcoding of transactions/NH/RH indicator		No of patients registered in quarter	Global Sum Verification Report
-	Manual scrutiny of GPR for non PARTNERS linked practices		No of patients registered in quarter	Global Sum Verification Repor
-	Deduction of decease patients		No of deceased patients	Global Sum Verification Report
-	Deduction of patients as no longer resident		No of patients removed	Global Sum Verification Report
-	Checks with NHSCR		No of patients registered in quarter	Global Sum Verification Report
-	Validation on patient data from PICT comparison of 10% of GP Practices		No of jobs and outcome	Global Sum Verification Report
-	Manual Scrutiny of registration forms where there is a concern regarding the quality of registration data submitted via PARTNERS		Issues as appropriate	Global Sum Verification Report
-	Data Quality Work that contributes to the removal of patients from CHI		No of patients removed	Global Sum Verification Report
	-	- Auto matching via PARTNERS/Auto postcoding of transactions/NH/RH indicator - Manual scrutiny of GPR for non PARTNERS linked practices - Deduction of decease patients - Deduction of patients as no longer resident - Checks with NHSCR - Validation on patient data from PICT comparison of 10% of GP Practices - Manual Scrutiny of registration forms where there is a concern regarding the quality of registration data submitted via PARTNERS - Data Quality Work that contributes	- Auto matching via PARTNERS/Auto postcoding of transactions/NH/RH indicator - Manual scrutiny of GPR for non PARTNERS linked practices - Deduction of decease patients - Deduction of patients as no longer resident - Checks with NHSCR - Validation on patient data from PICT comparison of 10% of GP Practices - Manual Scrutiny of registration forms where there is a concern regarding the quality of registration data submitted via PARTNERS - Data Quality Work that contributes	- Auto matching via PARTNERS/Auto postcoding of transactions/NH/RH indicator - Manual scrutiny of GPR for non PARTNERS linked practices - Deduction of decease patients - Deduction of patients as no longer resident - Checks with NHSCR - Checks with NHSCR - Validation on patient data from PICT comparison of 10% of GP Practices - Manual Scrutiny of registration forms where there is a concern regarding the quality of registration data submitted via PARTNERS - Data Quality Work that contributes - No of patients registered in quarter - Issues as appropriate

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
Olah al Coma					
Global Sum					
	-	Validation on patient data from PICT comparison for capitation dispute, data quality concerns		No of jobs and outcome	Global Sum Verification Report
Practice Visit					
	-	Signature/process check when only electronic claims during Practice Visit		No of checks & results	tba
Trend Analysis					
	-	Monitoring of levels of: Capitation by age/sex Patients in Care Homes < 12 months Patients in Care Homes > 12 Months Other patients < 12 months Other patients > 12 months Dispensing patients Mileage patients		Previous Quarter comparison	Global Sum Verification Report
	-	Monitoring of the levels through KPI of: New registrations in quarter Removals as deceased Removals as moved outwith HB area		Previous Quarter comparison	Global Sum Verification Report
	-	Pre-payment checking of quarterly Global Sum payments being authorised by GP Practice of variance +/- 5%		Variance report	Global Sum Verification Report

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
TPA					
IPA					
Temporary Patients	-	Service provision to patient record		No of checks and results	tba
		Review of NHS Board complaints log		Date of review/Follow up action taken	tba

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
Additional Services					
Contraceptive		Service provision to patient record		No of checks & results	tba
Minor Surgery		Service provision to patient record		No of checks & results	tba
Imm/Vacc		Service provision to patient record		No of checks & results	tba
CHS		Service provision to patient record		No of checks & results	tba
Two Year Old Immunisation Payment		Review of call / recall system		-	-
Five Year Old Immunisation Payment		Review of call / recall system		-	-
Cervical Screening		Review of call / recall system		-	-

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
PSP					
Locums - Mat/Pat/Adoption	-	Entitlement		-	tba
Locums -Sick Leave	-	Entitlement		-	tba
	-	Necessity		-	tba
	-	Prior Approval		-	tba
	-	Check to Medical Cert. & Confirm Cost		-	tba
	-	Entitlement		-	tba
Locums - Suspension	-	Necessity		-	tba
	-	Confirm cost		-	tba
Study Leave	-	Entitlement		-	tba
	-	Necessity		-	tba
	-	Prior Approval		-	tba
	-	Cost		-	tba
GH - Standard	-	Entitlement		-	tba
GH - Remote	-	Entitlement		-	tba
GH - Deprived	-	Entitlement		-	tba
GH - Non Principle	-	Entitlement		-	tba
GH - Recruitment	-	Entitlement		-	tba
GH - Recluitment	-	Check appropriate receipts		-	tba
GH - Relocation	-	Tenders Received		-	tba
Retainer	-	Contractor Suitable		-	tba
Retaillei	-	Arranged by Dir of PGE		-	tba
Adults with Incapacity	-	No of Certificates Issued		No of Fees Paid	tba
	-	Outlier Analysis of Data		Analysis of Outliers	tba

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
17c					
Global Sum	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	As per 17j
TPA	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	As per 17j
Additional Services	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	As per 17j
PSP	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	As per 17j
Seniority	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	As per 17j
17c Element	-	Review in line with each practices 17c agreement		-	-
Enhanced Services	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	As per 17j
QOF	-	As per 17j		As per 17j	as per 17j

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
Seniority					
Pre-Payment	-	Reasonableness (GMC registration data/NHS start date)		Details of new claims & results of checking	tba
	-	Length of Service		Details of new claims & results of checking	tba
	-	Eligibility of breaks in service		Details of new claims & results of checking	tba
	-	Eligibility of non NHS Service		Details of new claims & results of checking	tba

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
Enhanced Services					
DES					
List		Service provision to patient record/Activity Monitoring		No of checks & results, etc-	tba
all					
contracted					
DESs					
NES					
List					tba
all					
contracted					
NESs					
LES		Service provision to patient record/Activity Monitoring		No of checks & results, etc	
List					tba
all					
Contracted LESs					
Scottish		Service provision to patient record/Activity Monitoring		No of checks & results, etc	tba
Enhanced Services					
Programme					
List					
all					
contracted					
services					

Payment	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
QOF					
Disease Register Size		Monitor disease register size (within year) and identification of outliers		-	tba
Pre-Payment Verification		Scrutiny of practices achievement declaration		Results of scrutiny and action taken	tba
Clinical Indicators		Service provision to patient record		No of checks & results	tba
		Review of the application of Exception Coding		No of checks & results	tba
Organisational Indicators		Review of evidence and sample testing		No of checks & results	tba

General	Data Source	Check / Process	Who	Reporting	Where
GP Practice Systems					
Assurance on Integrity of Clinical System		System Security policy exists			tba
		Appropriate Administrator access use			tba
		Backup process			tba
		Anti-virus software protection		-	tba

3. Appendix B – Clinical Inspection of Medical Records/Practice Visits

1 Background

- 1.1 As detailed in the circular, one of the methods of verifying payments under the GMS contract is to carry out a practice visit. During such a visit, certain payments made to the practice will be verified to source details i.e. patient's clinical records. These clinical records may be paper based or electronically held.
- 1.2 At present, the verification process will require manual access to named patient data. However, it is hoped in future that electronic methods of interrogation, which may allow the anonymity of patients to be preserved, will be developed.
- 1.3 Particular attention has been paid to minimising the use of identifiable personal data in the payment verification process.

Practices should try to ensure that all patients receive fair processing information notices briefly explaining about these visits – this can be done when the patient registers or visits the surgery.

2 Selection of Practices

- 2.1 Practitioner Services and NHS Boards will jointly agree the selection of practices.
- 2.2 Visits may be carried out as a result of random selection, or where, for example, the application of risk assessment or trend analysis suggests that this may be appropriate. For random visits, 3% of practices are required to be visited in regard of a number of GMS payments (as indicated in this guidance) and 5% in regard of Quality and Outcomes payments, each financial year. GP Practices would not normally be selected for a random visit, for the same reason, over two consecutive years.
- 2.3 Practices will be advised of when the visit will take place, and the reason therefor.

3. Selection of Records

- 3.1 In advance of the inspection of patients' clinical records, a sample will be identified for examination.
- 3.2 For payments where data is held centrally, this will be possible via access to the Community Health Index, or on the various screening systems used throughout the country.
- 3.3 For payments where information is not held centrally, the practice will be asked to identify patients to whom they have provided the services selected for payment verification.

- 3.4 Where appropriate, this information should be submitted to Practitioner Services via secure e-mail, or on disc or paper format through the normal delivery service used for medical records.
- 3.5 The information will require to cover a minimum time period, to give a reasonable reflection of activity, but also to minimise the number of patients involved. This information should be specific to the service concerned, and where possible should only detail the CHI number and date of service.
- 3.6 From the above sources, a sample will be identified for examination during the visit. The visiting team will require to ascertain the identity of only the patients selected for audit during the visit. This is necessary to facilitate the retrieval of records by the practice and an efficient audit of notes by the visiting team.
- 3.7 Once the practice visit is completed, the outcome agreed and no further audit is required, the entire list from which the sample was taken will be destroyed.
- 3.8 The total number of patient records identified for examination will not normally exceed that which it is practical to review in a 2-3 hour session. The numbers of records selected in each payment area will be determined by a risk methodology consistent with that applied to the payment tables in the protocol, thus ensuring that a minimum number of records are accessed for the purposes of verification.
- 3.9 On arrival, the practice will be advised which clinical records will be examined and will require to make these available to the visiting team.

4 Visiting Team

- 4.1 The team visiting the practice may comprise representatives from both Practitioner Services and the NHS Board. A GP who is independent to the practice should also attend. In order to ensure independence, it may be appropriate to utilise a GP from a neighbouring NHS Board area.
- 4.2 As all members of the visiting team are NHS staff/contractors, they are contractually obliged to respect patient confidentiality and are bound by the NHS code of practice.
- 4.3 Only the GP team member will be required to access the clinical records. They may also be required to provide guidance in discussions with the practice.
- 4.4 The team members conducting the visit will be appropriately familiar with the GMS contract.

5. Examining the Clinical Records

- 5.1 The visiting team should be afforded sufficient space and time to examine the clinical records to ascertain whether evidence exists to verify that the payment made to the practice was appropriate. Only the parts of the record relevant to the verification process will be inspected.
- 5.2 The audit should be carried out in a private, non-public area of the practice where patient confidentiality can be observed, and clinical details can be discussed where necessary outwith the earshot of patients.
- 5.3 A member of the practice staff should be available to assist with the location of evidence, if required.
- 5.4 The visiting team should provide the GP practice with an annotated list of all the records examined during the visit, signed by the visiting GP. The practice will be advised to securely retain this list for a period of not less than seven years, in order to maintain an audit trail of patient records accessed by medical practitioners from outwith the practice.
- 5.5 It is recommended good practice that where electronic records are being accessed by the GP from the visiting team, the GP practice grants access to the computer system via a 'read only' account.

6. Concluding the Visit

- 6.1 Where the visit has identified issues, these will be discussed with the practice with a view to resolving them.
- 6.2 In instances where resolution of these issues is achieved, the visit may then be concluded, and the practice advised of the following:
 - Which payments were verified, and which payments were not;
 - Whether an extended sample of clinical records require to be examined/further investigation carried out;
 - What actions the practice is required to take as a result of the visit;
 - Whether recoveries require to be made as a result of the visit, and the terms according to which they will be made.
- 6.3 These discussions, and the agreements reached, will form the basis of the draft practice visit report.

- 6.4 Where the discussions with the practice do not resolve the visiting team's concerns, no further dialogue will take place and the matter will be reported to the NHS Board and (if appropriate) to Counter Fraud Services simultaneously.
- 6.5 Practitioner Services do not have any responsibility regarding Clinical Governance within the GP Practice. However, if, in exceptional circumstances, they become aware of any clinical issues during the visit, these will be referred on to the relevant NHS Board at the earliest opportunity, for them to take forward through the appropriate channels.

7. Practice Visit Report

- 7.1 The report should be drafted as soon as possible following the visit and every attempt should be made to minimise the use of patient identifiable data contained within it. It should be noted that Practice Visit reports may be made available under Freedom of Information requests, subject to individual request consideration and report content.
- 7.2 In instances where the visit has highlighted no areas of significant concern a draft report will be sent to the practice for confirmation of factual accuracy.
- 7.3 Once the comments have been agreed by the practice, a copy of the final report will be sent to the practice and the NHS Board, with a copy being retained by Practitioner Services. In order to comply with the principles of Data Protection and patient confidentiality, patients should not be identifiable in the report sent to the NHS Board.
- 7.4 In order to facilitate the equitable assessment of contractors, the conclusions resulting from a visit, and any further action required, will be clearly and consistently shown in all final reports. In order to facilitate this, the report will contain one of the following four summary conclusions:
 - 1. High level of assurance gained no recommendations/actions necessary
 - 2. Adequate level of assurance gained no significant recommendations/actions necessary
 - 3. Limited level of assurance gained key recommendations/actions made re testing required following implementation of recommendations
 - 4. Inadequate level of assurance gained issues escalated to appropriate authority for consideration of further action
- 7.5 In instances where the visit has highlighted significant areas for concern, a report will not be sent to the practice until the tri-partite discussion between Practitioner Services, the NHS Board and Counter Fraud Services has taken place, and their agreement reached as to the appropriate course of action. This discussion will normally take place within two weeks of the notification of concern.

4. Appendix C - QOF Year End Pre-Payment Verification

Introduction

Following the submission of a practice's QOF achievement declaration, NHS Boards and practices have a set period during which pre-payment verification must be carried out. It is only when this process is complete to the satisfaction of the NHS Board that the achievement declaration of each practice can be approved and payment made in respect of QOF. Practices and NHS Boards are required to sign off their achievement in accordance with the timetable set out in the SFE.

This paper provides guidance to NHS Boards about how pre-payment verification may be undertaken as part of NHS Boards' annual assurance processes. While it is for NHS Boards to determine the extent to which the guidance in this appendix is applied, any significant variances from the guidance should be reported to the relevant governance committee within the NHS Board.

QOF Achievement Review

In order to facilitate the pre-payment verification process, NHS Boards will establish a group to review QOF achievement within the Board area. Whilst most of this work will be undertaken during the pre-payment verification period, there is also a requirement for a degree of pre-payment verification throughout the year. NHS Boards should develop and agree a timetable to facilitate this process.

The membership of this group must comprise appropriately experienced NHS Board staff who will report their conclusions via the relevant governance committee within the NHS Board. The conclusions of the review group should be documented and retained in accordance with the requirements of CEL 31 'Records management: NHS Code of practice (Scotland)'. Auditors may also want to use the outputs from this process to obtain assurance on the QOF payments included within the annual accounts.

This group will consider the outputs of several processes as part of pre-payment verification. Good practice suggests consideration of the following areas:

1. Practice Review Programme

All NHS Boards will have a practice review programme in place. Where this incorporates an element of QOF review then any significant issues arising from this process should be made available to be considered as part of pre-payment verification. If this is not possible due to timing issues, any issues should be considered as part of post payment verification.

2. PV Visit Programme

In accordance with the current payment verification arrangements for QOF, 5% of practices (minimum 1) will be randomly selected and visited to have their achievement in respect of QOF for the previous financial year verified. During these visits, an agreed minimum percentage of the achieved points will be verified via direct access to patient and practice records.

The outcomes of the PV visit programme should be fed back into the group reviewing QOF achievement.

3. In-Year Monitoring of Disease Registers

The integrity of disease registers is fundamental to the validity of all payments for the clinical indicators in QOF. It is therefore vital that practices are monitored on an ongoing basis to ascertain how their reported disease register sizes change.

As part of this process it is recommended that NHS Boards:

- Determine locally appropriate variance levels for each disease register size (e.g. +/10%) and identify any GP practices that fall outwith this. Towards the end of the
 financial year this should be monitored against the previous year end figure on a
 monthly basis.
- Where the technology permits, disease register searches should be run on a regular basis to determine that all relevant patients are included in the appropriate disease register (e.g. the prescribing of disease specific drugs to a patient not included on the relevant disease register).

It is recommended that practices print out/store their disease registers when the year end submission is made for their current achievement. This will provide more accurate, accessible information should a review or PV visit be required.

4. Year End Data Analysis

Building on the outputs from the practice review programme and the in-year monitoring of disease registers, NHS Boards must carry out specific analysis of points achievement and prevalence data submitted at year end.

To assist with this a pre-populated spreadsheet will be provided to Boards, which will include achievement levels for the current and prior year, along with prevalence, demographic and exception coding statistics. In addition, tools such as QDA may provide support to NHS Boards as part of this process.

As part of this process it is recommended that NHS Boards consider:

Points Achievement -

- Identifying a locally appropriate percentage of achievement to ensure outlier practices can be followed up, to the satisfaction of the Board, prior to final sign off.
- Investigating significant variances in achievement for the current year, as compared to previous years.
- Satisfying themselves as to the validity of achievement for those indicators not attained in previous years. To assist this process, reference may be made to any organisational evidence that a Board has opted to request prior to payment.
- Identifying practices within the NHS Board area that have a similar demographic profile, but report a significant difference in achievement.

Prevalence -

- Identifying a locally appropriate level of prevalence to ensure outlier practices can be followed up, to the satisfaction of the Board, prior to final sign off.
- Investigating significant variances in prevalence for the current year, as compared to previous years.
- Identifying practices within the NHS Board area that have a similar demographic profile, but report a significant difference in prevalence.

Exception Coding -

• Identifying instances where practice (as opposed to system) generated exception coding has resulted in achievement of a payment threshold. In so doing it may also be useful, where possible, to consider this in the context of the number of practices that achieved the payment threshold without the use of exception coding.

Specific Indicator Analysis -

Defining a rationale to select a number of indicators to review in detail. This may focus
on new or changed indicators and those with a high number of points. Consideration
should also be given to the linkages or relationships between indicators (e.g. the
achievement of DEP3, introduced in 2009/10, was linked to the data for the established
indicator DEP2).

Review of "Non-Clinical" Evidence -

• Defining a rationale to select a number of "non-clinical" indicators for which evidence will be requested and reviewed.

5. Assurance from Existing NHS Board Processes

Evidence obtained from existing NHS Board processes may provide assurance in relation to achievement of specific indicators (e.g. confirmation provided to the group reviewing QOF achievement from prescribing advisors that the requirements of MED6/10 have been met). Details of the assurance obtained from existing Board processes should form part of the report to the governance committee.

6. GP Patient Experience Survey – PE7&8

The PE7 & 8 indicator results are derived from a national survey of patients conducted by Better Together, Scotland's Patient Experience Programme. As such no pre-payment verification work is possible.

Remedial Action

Should the group reviewing QOF achievement discover any issues of concern during the prepayment verification process, they must consider what remedial action should be taken.

A common course of action would be to enter into dialogue with the practice in an attempt to clarify any issues of concern. In the case of more serious issues, consideration should be given to the making of an interim payment, with any balance due being paid to the practice once a more indepth investigation has been carried out.

NHS Boards may also wish to consider the referral of issues of concern to PSD in order that a Payment Verification visit is carried out. Where issues are of a serious nature NHS Boards should consider invoking a tri-partite discussion with PSD and Counter Fraud Services.

Where adjustments to practice achievement are made, by either NHS Boards or practices, appropriate supporting documentation should be retained and reported to the relevant governance committee. This evidence may also inform the annual PV visit programme.

Conclusion

While this appendix aims to provide pre-payment verification guidance, it is for individual NHS Boards to satisfy themselves that an appropriate level of assurance exists about the reasonableness of each individual practice's QOF claims. This guidance provides a framework around which NHS Boards can plan and undertake QOF pre-payment verification. Boards may wish to discuss these arrangements with their auditors, especially where they diverge from this guidance.

5. Appendix D – QOF Tables

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Data Recording	ASTHMA3	The percentage of patients with asthma between the ages of 14 and 19 in whom there is a record of smoking status in the previous 15 months	-	6	
Patient Record	Data Recording	ASTHMA6	The percentage of patients with asthma who have had an asthma review in the last 15 months	-	20	
Patient Record	Data Recording	BP4	The percentage of patients with hypertension in which there is a record of the blood pressure in the past 9 months	-	18	
Patient Record	Data Recording	CANCER3	The percentage of patients with cancer diagnosed within the last 18 months who have a patient review recorded as occurring within six months of the practice receiving confirmation of the diagnosis	-	6	
Patient Record	Data Recording	CHD12/STROKE10/DM18/COPD8	The percentage of patients with X who have a record of influenza vaccination in the preceding 1 September to 31 March	-	18	
Patient Record	Data Recording	CHD5/STROKE5/ DM11/CKD2	The percentage of patients with X whose notes have a record of blood pressure in the previous 15 months	-	18	
Patient Record	Data Recording	COPD10	The percentage of patients with COPD with a record of FeV1 in the previous 15 months	-	7	
Patient Record	Data Recording	COPD13	The percentage of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the MRC dyspnoea score in the previous 15 months		9	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DEM2	The percentage of patients diagnosed with dementia whose care has been reviewed in the previous 15 months	-	15	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Data Recording	DEP1	The percentage of patients on the diabetes register and/or the CHD register for whom case finding for depression has been undertaken on one occasion during the previous 15 months using two standard screening questions	-	8	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DEP2	In those patients with a new diagnosis of depression, recorded between the preceding 1 April to 31 March, the percentage of patients who have had an assessment of severity at the outset of treatment using an assessment tool validated for use in primary care	-	25	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DEP3	In those patients with a new diagnosis of depression and assessment of severity recorded between the preceding 1 April to 31 March, the percentage of patients who have had a further assessment of severity 5-12 weeks (inclusive) after the initial recording of the assessment of severity. Both assessments should be completed using an assessment tool validated for use in primary care.		20	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DM10	The percentage of patients with diabetes with a record of neuropathy testing in the previous 15 months	-	3	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DM2	The percentage of patients with diabetes whose notes record BMI in the previous 15 months	-	3	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DM21	The percentage of patients with diabetes who have a record of retinal screening in the previous 15 months	-	5	
Patient Record	Data Recording	DM9	The percentage of patients with diabetes with a record of the presence of absence of peripheral pulses in the previous 15 months	-	3	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Data Recording	EPILEPSY6	The percentage of patients age 18 and over on drug treatment for epilepsy who have a record of seizure frequency in the previous 15 months	-	4	
Patient Record	Data Recording	EPILEPSY7	The percentage of patients age 18 and over on drug treatment for epilepsy who have a record of medication review involving the patient and/or carer in the previous 15 months	-	4	
Patient Record	Data Recording	EPILEPSY8	The percentage of patients age 18 and over on drug treatment for epilepsy who have been convulsion-free for last 12 months recorded in last 15 months	-	6	
Patient Record	Data Recording	MEDICINES11	A medication review is recorded in the notes in the preceding 15 months for all patients being prescribed four or more repeat medicines. Standard 80%	-	7	
Patient Record	Data Recording	MEDICINES12	A medication review is recorded in the notes in the preceding 15 months for all patients being prescribed repeat medicines. Standard 80%	-	8	
Patient Record	Data Recording	MH6	The percentage of patients on the register who have a comprehensive care plan documented in the records agreed between individuals, their family and/or carers as appropriate	-	6	
Patient Record	Data Recording	MH7	The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and other psychoses who do not attend the practice for their annual review who are identified and followed up by the practice team within 14 days of non-attendance	-	3	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Data Recording	MH9	The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and other psychoses with a review recorded in the preceding 15 months. In the review there should be evidence that the patient has been offered routine health promotion and prevention advice appropriate to their age, gender and health status	-	23	
Patient Record	Data Recording	PC2	The practice has regular (at least 3 monthly) multidisciplinary case review meetings where all patients on the palliative care register are discussed	-	3	
Patient Record	Data Recording	PP1	In those patients with a new diagnosis of hypertension (excluding those with pre-existing CHD, diabetes, stroke and/or TIA) recorded between the preceding 1 April to 31 March: the percentage of patients who have had a face to face cardiovascular risk assessment at the outset of diagnosis (within three months of the initial diagnosis) using an agreed risk assessment tool		8	
Patient Record	Data Recording	PP2	The percentage of people diagnosed with hypertension diagnosed after 1 April 2009 who are given lifestyle advice in the last 15 months for: increasing physical activity, smoking cessation, safe alcohol consumption and healthy diet.		5	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS11	The blood pressure of patients aged 45 and over is recorded in the preceding 5 years for at least 65% of patients	-	10	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS15	The practice has up-to-date clinical summaries in at least 60% of patient records	-	25	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS17	The blood pressure of patients aged 45 and over is recorded in the preceding 5 years for at least 80% of patients	-	5	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS18	The practice has up-to-date clinical summaries in at least 80% of patient records	-	8	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS19	80% of newly registered patients have had their notes summarised within 8 weeks of receipt by the practice	-	7	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS20	The practice has up-to-date clinical summaries in at least 70% of patient records	-	12	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS21	Ethnic origin is recorded for 100% of new registrations	-	1	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS23	The percentage of patients aged over 15 years whose notes record smoking status in the past 27 months. (payment stages 40 - 90%)	-	11	
Patient Record	Data Recording	RECORDS9	For repeat medicines, an indication for the drug can be identified in the records (for drugs added to the repeat prescription with effect from 1 April 2004). Minimum Standard 80%	-	4	
Patient Record	Data Recording	SH2	The percentage of women prescribed an oral or patch contraceptive method who have also received information from the practice about long acting reversible methods of contraception in the previous 15 months.		3	
Patient Record	Data Recording	SH3	The percentage of women prescribed emergency hormonal contraception at least once in the year by the practice who have received information from the practice about long acting reversible methods of contraception at the time of, or within one month of, the prescription		3	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Data Recording	SMOKING3	The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: coronary heart disease, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses whose notes record smoking status in the past 15 months.	-	30	
Patient Record	Data Recording	SMOKING4	The percentage of patients with any or any combination of the following conditions: coronary heart disease, stroke or TIA, hypertension, diabetes, COPD, CKD, asthma, schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder or other psychoses who smoke whose notes contain a record that smoking cessation advice or referral to a specialist service, where available, has been offered in the previous 15 months.	-	30	410
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	AF1	The practice can produce a register of patients with atrial fibrillation	-	5	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	AF4	The percentage of patients with atrial fibrillation diagnosed after 1 April 2008 with ECG or specialist confirmed diagnosis	-	10	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	ASTHMA1	The practice can produce a register of patients with asthma excluding patients with asthma who have been prescribed no asthma-related drugs in the last twelve months	-	4	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	ASTHMA8	The percentage of patients age eight and over diagnosed as having asthma from 1 April 2006 with measures of variability or reversibility	-	15	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	BP1	The practice can produce a register of patients with established hypertension	-	6	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	CANCER1	The practice can produce a register of all cancer patients defined as a 'register of patients with a diagnosis of cancer excluding non-melanotic skin cancers from 1 April 2003'	-	5	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	CHD1	The practice can produce a register of patients with coronary heart disease	-	4	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	CHD2	The percentage of patients with newly diagnosed angina (diagnosed after 1 April 2003) who are referred for exercise testing or specialist assessment	-	7	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	CKD1	The practice can produce a register of patients aged 18 years and over with CKD (US National Kidney Foundation: Stage 3 to 5 CKD)	-	6	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	COPD1	The practice can produce a register of patients with COPD	-	3	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	COPD12	The percentage of patients with COPD diagnosed after 1 April 2008 in whom the diagnosis has been confirmed by post bronchodilator spirometry.	-	5	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	DEM1	The practice can produce a register of patients diagnosed with dementia	-	5	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	DM19	The practice can produce a register of all patients aged 17 and over with diabetes mellitus, which specifies whether the patient has Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes	-	6	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	EPILEPSY5	The practice can produce a register of patients aged 18 and over receiving drug treatment for epilepsy	-	1	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	HF1	The practice can produce a register of patients with heart failure	-	4	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	HF2	The percentage of patients with a diagnosis of heart failure (diagnosed after 1 April 2006) which has been confirmed by and echocardiogram or by specialist assessment	-	6	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	LD1	The practice can produce a register of patients with learning disabilities	-	4	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	MH8	The practice can produce a register of people with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and other psychoses	-	4	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	OB1	The practice can produce a register of patients aged 16 and over with a BMI greater than or equal to 30 in the previous 15 months	-	8	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	PC3	The practice has a complete register available of all patients in need of palliative care/support irrespective of age.	-	3	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	SH1	The practice can produce a register of women who have been prescribed any method of contraception at least once in the last year, or other appropriate interval e.g. last 5 years for and IUS		4	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	STROKE1	The practice can produce a register of patients with stroke and TIA	-	2	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	STROKE13	The percentage of new patients with a stroke of TIA who have been referred for further investigation	-	2	
Patient Record	Disease Register Integrity	THYROID1	The practice can produce a register of patients with hypothyroidism	-	1	120
Patient Record	Lab Tests	CHD7/STROKE7/DM16	The percentage of patients with X whose notes have a record of total cholesterol in the previous 15 months	-	12	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	CHD8/STROKE8/DM17	The percentage of patients with X whose last measured total cholesterol (measured in the last 15 months) is 5 mmol/l or less	-	28	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Lab Tests	CKD6	The percentage of patients on the CKD register whose notes have a record of a urine albumin:creatinine ratio (or protein:creatinine ratio) test in the previous 15 months		6	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	DM13	The percentage of patients with diabetes who have a record of micro-albuminuria testing in the previous 15 months (exception reporting for patients with proteinuria)	-	3	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	DM22	The percentage of patients with diabetes who have a record of estimated glomerular filtration (eGFR) or serum creatinine testing in the previous 15 months	-	3	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	DM23	The percentage of patients with diabetes in whom the last HbA1c is 7 or less (or equivalent test/reference range depending on local laboratory) in the previous 15 months		17	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	DM24	The percentage of patients with diabetes in whom the last HbA1c is 8 or less (or equivalent test/reference range depending on local laboratory) in the previous 15 months		8	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	DM25	The percentage of patients with Diabetes in whom the HbA1c is 9 or less (or equivalent test/reference range depending on local laboratory) in the previous 15 months		10	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	DM5	The percentage of diabetic patients who have a record of HbA1c or equivalent in the previous 15 months	-	3	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	MH4	The percentage of patients on lithium therapy with a record of serum creatinine and TSH in the preceding 15 months	-	1	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Lab Tests	MH5	The percentage of patients on lithium therapy with a record of lithium levels in the therapeutic range within the previous 6 months	-	2	
Patient Record	Lab Tests	THYROID2	The percentage of patients with hypothyroidism with thyroid function tests recorded in the previous 15 months	-	6	99
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	AF3	The percentage of patients with atrial fibrillation who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy or antipalelet therapy	-	12	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	CHD10	The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who are currently treated with a beta blocker (unless a contraindication or side-effects are recorded)	-	7	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	CHD11	The percentage of patients with a history of myocardial infarction (diagnosed after 1st April 2003) who are currently treated with an ACE inhibitor	-	7	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	CHD9	The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease with a record in the last 15 months that aspirin, an alternative antiplatelet therapy, or an anti-coagulant is being taken (unless a contraindication or side effects are recorded)	-	7	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	CKD5	The percentage of patients on the CKD register with hypertension and proteinuria who are treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACE-I) or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) (unless a contraindication or side effects are recorded).	-	9	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	DM15	The percentage of patients with diabetes with proteinuria or micro-albuminuria who are treated with ACE inhibitors (or A2 antagonists)	-	3	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	HF3	The percentage of patients with a diagnosis of heart failure due to LVD who are currently treated with ACE inhibitor or Angiotensin Receptor Blocker, who can tolerate therapy and for whom there is no contraindication	-	10	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	HF4	The percentage of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to LVD who are currently treated with an ACE inhibitor or Angiotensin Receptor Blocker, who are additionally treated with a beta-blocker licensed for heart failure, or recorded as intolerant to or having a contraindication to beta-blockers.		9	
Patient Record	Repeat Prescribing	STROKE12	The percentage of patients with a stroke shown to be non-haemorrhagic, or a history of TIA, who have a record that an anti-platelet agent (aspirin, clopidogrel, dipyridamole or a combination), or an anti-coagulant is being taken (unless a contraindication of side-effects are recorded)	-	4	68
Patient Record	Trend Analysis	BP5	The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure (measured in last 9 months) is 150/90 or less	-	57	
Patient Record	Trend Analysis	CHD6/STROKE6	The percentage of patients with X, in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the last 15 months) is 150/90 or less	-	22	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total
Patient Record	Trend Analysis	CKD3	The percentage of patients on the CKD register in whom the last blood pressure reading, measured in the previous 15 months is 145/85 or less	-	11	
Patient Record	Trend Analysis	DM12	The percentage of patients with diabetes in whom the last blood pressure is 145/85 or less	-	18	108
Out with Patient Record	-	CHS1	Child development checks are offered at intervals that are consistent with national guidelines and policy	С	6	
Out with Patient Record	-	CS5	The practice has a system for informing all women of the results of cervical smears	С	2	
Out with Patient Record	-	CS6	The practice has a policy for auditing its cervical screening service, and performs an audit of inadequate cervical smears in relation to individual smear-takers at least every 2 years	A	2	
Out with Patient Record	-	CS7	The practice has a protocol that is in line with national guidance and practice for the management of cervical screening, which includes staff training, management of patient call/ recall, exception reporting and the regular monitoring of inadequate smear rates	А	7	
Out with Patient Record	-	EDUCATION1	There is a record of all practice-employed clinical staff having attended training/updating in basic life support skills in the preceding 18 months	В	4	
Out with Patient Record		EDUCATION10	The practice has undertaken a minimum of three significant event reviews within the last year	А	6	
Out with Patient Record	-	EDUCATION5	There is a record of all practice-employed staff having attended training/updating in basic life support skills in the preceding 36 months	В	3	

Data Source	a Source Crouning Indicator Deceription		Evidence Category	Points	Total	
Out with Patient Record	-	EDUCATION6	The practice conducts an annual review of patient complaints and suggestions to ascertain general learning points which are shared with the team	А	3	
Out with Patient Record	-	EDUCATION7	The practice has undertaken a minimum of twelve significant event reviews in the past 3 years which could include: any death occurring in the practice premises, new cancer diagnoses, deaths where terminal		4	
Out with Patient Record	-	EDUCATION8	All practice-employed nurses have		5	
Out with Patient Record	-	EDUCATION9	All practice-employed non-clinical team members have an annual appraisal	С	3	
Out with Patient Record	-	INFORMATION4	If a patient is removed from a practice's list, the practice provides an explanation of the reasons in writing to the patient and information on how to find a new practice, unless it is perceived that such an action would result in a violent response by the patient	В	1	
Out with Patient Record	- -	INFORMATION5	The practice supports smokers in stopping smoking by a strategy which includes providing literature and offering appropriate therapy	А	2	
Out with Patient Record	-	MANAGEMENT1	Individual healthcare professionals have access to information on local procedures relating to Child Protection	С	1	

Data Source Grouping Indicator		Description	Evidence Category	Points	Total	
Out with Patient Record	with ent - MANAGEMENT10		There is a written procedures manual that includes staff employment policies including equal opportunities, bullying and harassment and sickness absence (including illegal drugs, alcohol and stress), to which staff have access	В	2	
Out with Patient Record	-	MANAGEMENT2	There are clearly defined arrangements for backing up computer data, back-up verification, safe storage of back-up tapes and authorisation for loading programmes where a computer is used	А	1	
Out with Patient Record	-	MANAGEMENT3	The Hepatitis B status of all doctors and relevant practice-employed staff is recorded and immunisation recommended if required in accordance with national guidance	С	0.5	
Out with Patient Record	-	MANAGEMENT5	The practice offers a range of appointment times to patients, which as a minimum should include morning and afternoon appointments five mornings and four afternoons per week, except where agreed with the PCO	А	3	
Out with Patient Record	-	MANAGEMENT7	The practice has systems in place to ensure regular and appropriate inspection, calibration, maintenance and replacement of equipment including: a defined responsible person, clear recording, systematic pre-planned schedules, reporting of faults	В	3	
Out with Patient Record	-	MANAGEMENT9	The practice has a protocol for the identification of carers and a mechanism for the referral of carers for social services assessment	А	3	
Out with Patient Record	-	MAT1	Ante-natal care and screening are offered according to current local guidelines	А	6	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Description		Points	Total
Out with Patient Record	-	MEDICINES10	The practice meets the PCO prescribing adviser at least annually, has agreed up to three actions related to prescribing and subsequently provided evidence of change		4	
Out with Patient Record	-	MEDICINES2	The practice possesses the equipment and in-date emergency drugs to treat anaphylaxis	С	2	
Out with Patient Record	-	MEDICINES3	There is a system for checking the expiry dates of emergency drugs on at least an annual basis	С	2	
Out with Patient Record	-	MEDICINES4	The number of hours from requesting a		3	
Out with Patient Record	-	MEDICINES6	The practice meets the PCO prescribing adviser at least annually and agrees up to three actions related to prescribing		4	
Out with Patient Record	-	MEDICINES8	the patient is 48 hours or less (excluding weekends and bank/local holidays)		6	
Out with Patient Record	-	PE1	The length of routine booked appointments with the doctors in the practice is not less than 10 minutes. (If the practice routinely sees extras during booked surgeries, then the average booked consultation length should allow for the average number of extras seen in a surgery session. If the		33	

Data Source	Grouping	Indicator	Indicator Description		Points	Total
Out with Patient Record	-	RECORDS13	There is a system to alert the out-of-hours service or duty doctor to patients dying at home		2	
Out with Patient Record	-	RECORDS3	RECORDS3 The practice has a system for transferring and acting on information about patients seen by other doctors out of hours		1	
Out with Patient Record	-	RECORDS8	There is a designated place for the		1	
No Further Verification Required	-	CS1			11	
No Further Verification Required	The percentage of patients who, in the appropriate national survey, indicate that they were able to obtain a consultation with a GP (in England) or appropriate health		-	23.5		
Verification - PE8 appropriately they were		The percentage of patients who, in the appropriate national survey, indicate that they were able to book an appointment with a GP more than 2 days ahead	-	35	195	
TOTAL					1000	1000

Annex III – Ophthalmic Payments

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intr	oduction	2
	Level 1	
	Level 2	
	Level 3	
	Level 4	
	Examination of Record Cards	
	AInspection of Ophthalmic Records and Practice Visits	

Introduction

The following sections detail the payment verification requirements for General Ophthalmic Services (GOS)

Practitioner Services (Ophthalmic) operate a scanning and optical character recognition system (iDENT) and a computerised payment system (Optix) which combine to undertake extensive prepayment validation on 100% of ophthalmic claims.

Payment Verification is undertaken by a monitoring team based in Edinburgh working in cooperation with NHS Boards and Information Services (ISD) as sources of specialist advice. Where necessary they also liaise with Counter Fraud Services and the Central Legal Office.

The changed nature of the GOS system from a 'sight test' to a much more extensive 'eye examination' required a fresh approach to PV with a range of new checks being undertaken.

Page 2

Annex III - Ophthalmic Payments

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intro	oduction	2
	Level 1	
	Level 2	
	Level 3	
-	Level 4	
	Examination of Record Cards	
	A Inspection of Ophthalmic Records and Practice Visits	

1. Level 1

The payments system will automatically carry out 100% Level 1 checks including:

- ➤ Validation of prescription details and change in prescription as defined by ISO8980 1 & 2;
- Validation of claim form, including fees claimed/allowed and time limits for form submission;
- Frequency of Primary Eye Examination and Supplementary Eye Examination codes;
- Duplication of claims;
- Practitioner details and identification stamp;
- Existence of practitioner signatures;
- Existence of patient's or patient's representative signatures;
- Patient details check and patient matching with patient history;
- Link of GOS(S) 3 to GOS(S) 1 and GOS(S) 4 to GOS(S) 3 where available;
- ▶ Patient exemption type evidence produced (for GOS(S) 3 and GOS(S) 4);
- Age/entitlement.
- > NHS Board prior approval received where required.
- Whether refraction was carried out as part of the eye examination and whether this resulted in a change of prescription or not.
- ➤ Check that a reason is given when a Supplementary Eye Examination has been conducted.
- Ensure that a Supplementary examination follows on from a Primary examination, except where a child under the age of 16 is referred by an ophthalmic hospital to an optician or ophthalmic medical practitioner for a cycloplegic refraction.
- ➤ Whether the patient was referred from another part of the NHS/carer/social worker.
- Whether the patient was referred to another part of the NHS/carer/social worker.

Where claims fail the validation within the Optix system, they are returned to the practitioner unpaid and seeking clarification.

2. Level 2

Payment verification at Level 2 consists of the production and analysis of statistical information.

<u>Patterns of Prescribing:</u> Practices with high or unusual prescribing patterns are highlighted from the claims received within the relevant quarter. Each of the following are assessed for their level of patient management/prescribing in each NHS Board area:

- Number of Primary Eye Examinations
- Number of Supplementary Eye Examinations
- ➤ Number of Supplementary Examinations compared with Primary Examinations.
- Reasons for Supplementary Examinations
- Number of Eye Examinations compared to number of vouchers issued.
- Number of Primary and Supplementary Examinations conducted in a day.
- > Domiciliary visits and the number of eye examinations undertaken in a single day
- Tints;
- Prisms;
- > Supply of 2 pairs rather than bifocals
- Complex lenses;
- Small frame supplements;
- Small frame replacements;
- Repairs and replacements;
- Earnings
- Cost per case.

Figures will also be available for:

- Instances where patients were referred from another part of the NHS/carer (social worker).
- Instances where patients were referred to another part of the NHS/carer (social worker).
- Details on certain clinical conditions that the patient may have.

Other ad hoc reports will also be produced to provide NHS Boards with general prescribing information e.g. the use of early re-examination codes.

Where concerns are highlighted from the Level 2 checks, and following discussion with the NHS Boards (including input from them on local factors), further investigations will be undertaken at Level 3 or it may be decided to refer the matter to Counter Fraud Services.

3. Level 3

Level 3 validation will be undertaken on high or unusual prescribing patterns (identified at Level 2) or where the level 4 sampling process proves unsatisfactory or inconclusive. Level 3 checking may include:

- Further analysis of claims and prescribing patterns;
- Asking the practitioner for their observations;
- Examination of patient record cards;
- > Sending letters to specific patients;
- > Checking of patients' glasses to establish that they match up with what is being claimed for.

Where the outcome of Level 3 checking is inconclusive or raises concern, cases will be discussed with the NHS Board and Counter Fraud Services.

4. Level 4 - Practice Visits

Practice visits will be conducted in line with the guidance on Inspection of Ophthalmic Records and Practice Visits in Appendix A.

A Risk Assessment matrix will be used to quantify what proportion of the overall sample will be drawn from each item of service. The sample will be made up from checks within each NHS Board proportionate to their share of the national volume of claiming.

Practices are selected for visits randomly and a sample of patient records in accordance with the risk matrix is checked. Checks will also be made on glasses to ensure compliance with the prescription. Additional practice visits may be carried out where appropriate.

Any concerns or issues raised at this level will be discussed with the NHS Board and may be referred to Level 3 for further investigation.

5. Examination of Record Cards

Where record cards are checked during a visit to a practice or called in for examination at Levels 3 and/or 4, a sample will be checked to establish that they comply with the minimum data set as laid down in the Memorandum to NHS: 2006 PCA(O)4, Annex D.

Appendix A – Inspection of Ophthalmic Records and Practice Visits

Background

- 1.1 One of the methods of verifying payments made under the General Ophthalmic Services (GOS) contract is to examine patient records. It has been agreed to carry out these checks during practice visits. During these visits a selection of records will be examined looking at particular items of service.
- 1.2 These records will usually be paper based though cross-checking may be required with any relevant electronically held information, as well as with order books and appointment diaries.
- 1.3 All patients receiving treatment under GOS sign to say that their information can be looked at for checking purposes in this instance as part of the Payment Verification process.

2 Selection of Practices

- 2.1 Practitioner Services staff will conduct these visits on either a random basis with regard to the risk matrix and the quota of record card checks to be carried out for that particular NHS Board, or where the application of risk assessment or trend analysis suggests that this would be appropriate.
- 2.2 Practitioner Services and NHS Boards will jointly agree the selection of practices. In the case of those visits carried out as part of random sampling, consideration will be given to avoiding the selection of any practices that have recently been in receipt of a Practice Inspection or routine record card check
- 2.3 Contractors will be advised of when the visit will take place.
- 2.4 The contractor will be given at least two weeks notice of the intention to carry out a visit. Every effort will be made to carry out the visit at a mutually convenient time, including giving consideration to visits 'out of hours' where that is feasible.
- 2.5 In the event that a contractor fails to give access to patient records then the NHS Board will be alerted so that the contractor may be warned that he or she may be subject to a referral under NHS disciplinary arrangements.

3. Selection of Records

- 3.1 In advance of the visit, a number of patients' record cards will be identified for examination. Practitioner Services will extract this information from the OPTIX system. Details of these claims will be prepared for use by the visiting team during their visit, with the physical records being obtained during the visit. Other records may be selected during the visit.
- 3.2 The practice will be consulted on how they store their records and, where possible, the sample of records will be chosen in such a manner as to facilitate extraction of the records by the practice.
- 3.3 Practitioner Services will examine record cards from recent visits by patients, though this will be dependent on the 'items of service' being checked and the throughput of the practice.
- 3.4 The total number of patient records identified for examination would not normally exceed that which it is practical to review in a two-hour session. However, this may vary for larger practices and where records are held centrally for a number of practices in different NHS Boards.
- 3.5 The numbers of records selected for each 'item of service' as part of the random practice visit will be determined by a risk methodology, thus ensuring that a minimum threshold is achieved for the number of records that are accessed for the purposes of verification. For visits concentrating on specific areas, the volume of checks will be determined by the specific circumstances and in consultation with the relevant NHS Board.
- 3.6 During the visit, Practitioner Services staff will be required to take copies of a sample of the patient records they have checked, either by photocopying, photographing or by electronic scanning. This is for audit purposes to show evidence that records have been checked and will also be necessary in the event of any issues arising or where there is a need for clarification on any matter that cannot be resolved during the practice visit.
- 3.7 Once the practice visit is completed, the outcome agreed and no further audit is required, the copies of the patient records will be destroyed.

4 Visiting Team

- 4.1 The team visiting the practice will comprise a group drawn from Practitioner Services staff with appropriate knowledge and skills in:
 - Monitoring and the technicalities of the updated GOS arrangements;
 - Checking spectacles;
 - Customer service skills;
 - The ability to demonstrate procedures to practice staff.

- 4.2 As all members of the visiting team are NHS staff/contractors, they are contractually obliged to respect patient and business confidentiality and are bound by the NHS code of practice.
- 4.3 Should they so desire, the relevant NHS Board may undertake a visit at the same time as the visiting team. This may be of particular assistance if locally run schemes are to be verified by the NHS Board during the visit. In these cases, all of the purposes of the visit will be made clear to the contractor before the visit is made.

5. Examining the Patient Record Cards

- 5.1 The visiting team should be afforded sufficient space and time to examine the patient record cards to ascertain whether evidence exists to verify that payments made to the contractor were appropriate.
- 5.2 The audit should be carried out in a private, non-public area of the practice where patient confidentiality can be observed, and issues can be discussed where necessary out-with the earshot of patients.
- 5.3 A member of the practice staff should be available to assist with the location of evidence, if required.
- 5.4 It is recommended good practice that, where the visiting team is accessing electronic records, the contractor grants access to the computer system via a 'read only' account.

6. Concluding the Visit

- 6.1 Where the visit has identified issues, these will be discussed with the practice with a view to resolving them.
- 6.2 In instances where resolution of these issues is achieved, the visit may then be concluded, and the practice advised of the following:
 - Which payments were verified, and which payments were not;
 - Whether an extended sample of clinical records require to be examined/further investigation carried out;
 - What actions the practice is required to take as a result of the visit;
 - Whether recoveries require to be made as a result of the visit, and the terms according to which they will be made.
- 6.3 These discussions, and the agreements reached will form the basis of the draft practice visit report.

- 6.4 Where the discussions with the practice do not resolve the visiting team's concerns, no further dialogue will take place and the matter will be reported to the NHS Board and (if appropriate) to Counter Fraud Services simultaneously.
- 6.5 Practitioner Services do not have any remit regarding Clinical Governance. However, if, in exceptional circumstances, they become aware of any clinical issues during the course of the visit, these will be referred on to the relevant NHS Board at the earliest opportunity, for them to take forward through the appropriate channels.

7. Practice Visit Report

- 7.1 The report should be drafted as soon as possible following the visit.
- 7.2 In instances where the visit highlighted no areas of significant concern, a draft report will be sent to the contractor for confirmation of factual accuracy.
- 7.3 Once the contents have been agreed by the contractor, a copy of the final report will be sent to the contractor and the NHS Board, with a copy being retained by Practitioner Services.
- 7.4 In order to facilitate the equitable assessment of contractors, the conclusions resulting from a visit, and any further action required, will be clearly and consistently shown in all final reports.
- 7.5 In instances where the visit has highlighted significant areas of concern, a report will not be sent to the contractor until the tri-partite meeting between Practitioner Services, the NHS Boards and Counter Fraud Services has taken place, and their agreement reached as to the appropriate course of action.

Annex IV – Pharmaceutical Payments

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Intr	oduction	2
	Level 1	
	Level 2	
	Level 3	
	Level 4	
5	Clinical Inspection of Patient Records	8
6	Liaison with NHS Boards and Counter Fraud Services (CFS)	8

Introduction

The Scottish Government published NHS Circular CEL(2007)12, which outlines the Payment Verification (PV) program for Family Health Services. The requirements of NHS Boards have subsequently evolved and this revised protocol is designed to outline the new Payment Verification Program and to encompass the commencement of the new Community Pharmacy contract.

1 Level 1

The payments system (DCVP) will automatically carry out 100% Level 1 checks including;

- > Foreign Forms
- Urgent Forms
- Unknown Items
- Minimum Gross Ingredient Cost
- Out of Pocket Expenses
- High Value Gross Ingredient Cost
- > Rejected Items
- Unusual Fees
- Pay and Report Items
- Ambiguity Check
- Invalid Form Serial Number
- Invalid Community Health Index (CHI)
- Invalid Date Check
- Maximum Number of Instalments Exceeded
- Invalid Formulary
- Quantity Limited Exceeded
- > SLS Endorsement Exceeded
- DTA Quantity Error

The items below are audited by NSS Service Audit and reported to each NHS Board in Scotland.

- Gross Ingredient Cost (GIC) of the areas subject to PV procedures outlined in the following pages of this document.
- Dispensing Fees, this excludes Dispensing Fees of the areas subject to PV procedures outlined in the following pages of this document.
- Transitional Fees.
- Regional Office Payments.

2 Level 2

Level 2 consists of the compilation and analysis of statistical information, which will be reported to NHS Boards on a quarterly basis. The PV Program will analyse the payment category areas selected by PV Pharmacy. The selected payment categories are:-

Payment Categories Selected

- Public Health Service Nicotine Replacement Therapy (NRT)
- Public Health Service Emergency Hormonal Contraception (EHC)
- Public Health Service Core
- Community Pharmacy Urgent Supply (CPUS) dispensing's
- Cross Border Flow
- Influenza Vaccination Programme (seasonal)
- Random Sampling

At the request of the NHS Boards, the 'Form Types' analysis will also continue to be included within the PV report.

- Form Types
- **2.1** Public Health Service, Nicotine Replacement Therapy (NRT) the following data will be presented in tabular form by NHS Board area: -
 - View 1 A summary report detailing the volume of NRT prescription items paid on a CPUS form and the associated GIC paid. The data will provide a breakdown by NHS Board and a Scottish total for comparison.
 - <u>View 2</u> − A detailed report of View 1 in NHS Board Community Pharmacy Contractor (CP) Code order. Each CP Code will detail the number of items paid on a CPUS form for NRT and the associated GIC paid. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.
 - View 3 A detailed report in NHS Board CP Code order. Each CP Code will detail the number of Patients registered for NRT and the associated treatment payment made. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.
 - View 4 A detailed report comparing the number of CPUS forms written per CP Code for NRT against the number of Patients treated by the same CP as per the Appendix E form submitted. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.

- **2.2** Public Health Service, Emergency Hormonal Contraception (EHC) the following data will be presented in tabular form by NHS Board area: -
 - View 1 A summary report detailing the volume of EHC prescription items paid on a CPUS form and the associated GIC paid. The data will provide a breakdown by NHS Board and a Scottish total for comparison.
 - <u>View 2</u> − A detailed report of View 1 in NHS Board CP Code order. Each CP Code will detail the number of items paid on a CPUS form for EHC and the associated GIC paid. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.
 - View 3 A detailed report in NHS Board CP Code order. Each CP Code will detail the number of Patients registered for EHC and the associated treatment payment made. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.
 - View 4 A detailed report comparing the number of CPUS forms written per CP Code for EHC against the number of Patients treated by the same CP as per the Appendix E form submitted. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.
- **2.3 Public Health Service, Core** the following data will be presented in tabular form by NHS Board area: -
 - PV will provide the NHS Boards with confirmation that the Core element of Public Health for their NHS Board area using the information provided by CJC Media. CJC Media are the chosen distributor and installer of the NHS promotional campaigns including the postering in CP premises. PV will audit the process used by CJC Media to ensure that this meets the guidelines agreed as part of the contract and provide a statement of assurance to the NHS Board.
- **2.4** Community Pharmacy Urgent Supply (CPUS) the following data will be presented in tabular form by NHS Board area: -
 - ➢ <u>View 1</u> A summary report detailing the volume of items paid on a CPUS form, the associated GIC and the average GIC per item paid. This report will exclude the medication used for NRT, EHC and Chlamydia Treatment. The data will provide a breakdown by NHS Board and a Scottish total for comparison.
 - View 2 A detailed report of View 1 in NHS Board CP Code order. Each CP Code will detail the number of items paid on a CPUS, the associated GIC paid and the average GIC per item paid. CP period subtotals will be provided for comparison.
 - ➤ <u>View 3</u> A report detailing the top 50 CPUS forms ranked by paid G.I.C.

- **2.5 Cross Border Flow** the following data will be presented in Tabular form by NHS Board area:-
 - View 1 A summary report detailing the total number of prescriptions written by the reporting NHS Boards Prescribers and the number dispensed in each NHS Board area & the respective associated GIC. The data will provide NHS Board totals.
 - View 2 A detailed report listing each NHS Board prescriber Practice detailing the total number of prescriptions written by the Practice & the NHS Board area dispensed and the respective associated GIC.
 - View 3 A detailed report listing all NHS Boards Pharmacy dispenser codes which have dispensed the reporting NHS Board Prescribers prescriptions, indicating the total number of prescriptions dispensed by each dispenser and the respective GIC values.
- **2.6 Influenza Vaccination Programme (seasonal)** the following data will be presented in tabular form by NHS Board area: -
 - PV will carry out a seasonal review of Influenza Vaccinations at NHS Board level. The review will compare the volume and associated GIC of Flu Vaccines claimed by the CP or Dispensing Doctor on the Stock Order (GP10A) or Prescriptions (GP10) against the supporting invoice.
- **2.7** Random Sampling the following data will be presented in tabular form by NHS Board area by NHS Board area:-
 - ▶ PV will conduct random sampling across the prescriptions dispensed over the quarter of the report being issued, excluding dispensings made on a CPUS form. The sample size will be defined using statistical strata. The individual NHS Boards proportion (based on the number of items dispensed) of the prescriptions to be sampled will be defined as per the Table 1 shown below and the statistical strata referred to earlier.

Table 1

NHS Board (Dispenser)	Percentage of Total Random Sample
NHS Ayrshire & Arran	8%
NHS Borders	2%
NHS Dumfries & Galloway	4%
NHS Fife	7%
NHS Forth Valley	6%
NHS Grampian	9%
NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde	23%
NHS Highland	6%
NHS Lanarkshire	12%
NHS Lothian	12%
NHS Orkney	1%
NHS Shetland	1%
NHS Tayside	8%
NHS Western Isles	1%
Scottish Total	100%

3 Level 3

Further investigations undertaken at Level 3 may include any of the following:-

- Verification of the payment information from the centralised pharmaceutical data warehouse with the individual claims.
- ➤ Extended samples providing further analysis of claims and/or prescribing patterns.
- Requesting Pharmacy Contractors to provide Patient medication records.
- > Requesting Pharmacy Contractors to provide explanations to PV.
- Requesting Pharmacy Contractors to provide supporting documentation as required.
- Contacting Patients to confirm the services provided.
- Advising Pharmacy Contractors of Best Practice as required.
- > Adhoc assignments as required.
- > Targeted sampling across the identified Risk Categories using statistical strata to decide on the volumes to be sampled.
- ➤ The Payment Verification Manager will advise NHS Boards of any Clinical Governance issues found during the payment verification process. Clinical Governance issues will be discussed with the NHS Board and the appropriate action identified.

The amount of Level 3 work undertaken and the number of contacts with Patients will be determined through discussions with the appropriate NHS Board.

Where the outcome of the above checking proves unsatisfactory or inconclusive, this will be reported to the NHS Board on a quarterly basis or sooner if the situation dictates that this is required. PV will undertake additional extended sampling on direction from the NHS Board i.e.

- Undertaking a clinical inspection of Patient medication records.
- Requesting explanations.

4 Level 4

PV will undertake a Level 4 check on randomly selected CP's for each NHS Board. The number of CP's to be sampled per NHS Board is detailed in Table 2.

- > The size of the sample undertaken will be based on statistical strata using the number of claims submitted by the CP, (see Table 3).
- A random sample of claims will be selected & checked against the details contained within the respective Patient medication records from the CP.

The level of this check will result in a minimum of 1% of all pharmacies across Scotland having records inspected annually and will involve the confirmation of a sample of claims across selected payment categories.

Table 2

	Number		
	of		Number of CP's to be sampled
	Active		per year based on sample size
	CP's in	% of	of 21 & minimum of 1 per
NHS Board	Oct 08	Total	Board per year
NHS Ayrshire & Arran	92	7.62%	2
NHS Borders	26	2.15%	1
NHS Dumfries & Galloway	33	2.73%	1
NHS Fife	78	6.46%	1
NHS Forth Valley	69	5.72%	1
NHS Grampian	128	10.60%	2
NHS Greater Glasgow & Clyde	312	25.85%	4
NHS Highland	73	6.06%	1
NHS Lanarkshire	117	9.69%	2
NHS Lothian	180	14.91%	3
NHS Orkney	3	0.25%	1
NHS Shetland	3	0.25%	1
NHS Tayside	90	7.46%	2
NHS Western Isles	3	0.25%	1
Scottish Total	1,207	100%	23

Table 3

	No. of items per month	No. of prescriptions	No. of CP's in this band
Band	(excl SO)	to be sampled	as at Oct 08
Α	1 - 5,000	20	553
В	5,001 - 10,000	25	535
С	10,001 - 15,000	30	95
D	15,001 - 20,000	35	20
E	20,001 - 25,000	40	3
F	25,001 - 30,000	45	1
G	30,000+	50	0

5 Clinical Inspection of Patient Records

As detailed earlier, it is intended that PV will arrange for the inspection of Patient medication records in the following two circumstances:

Level 3 PV Checks:

- In order to pursue the outcome of any claims identified at Level 2 as requiring further investigation; or
- ➤ Where the formal assessment of the level of risk associated with a particular payment category indicates that such inspection would be beneficial.

Level 4 PV Checks:

▶ PV will undertake examination of records on a minimum of 1% sample of pharmacies across Scotland chosen at random.

With respect to Level 4 examination of records, a minimum of 1% of all pharmacies across Scotland will have records inspected annually; the examination to involve the confirmation of a sample of claims across selected payment categories.

Again, the size of the sample of claims to be checked will require to be statistically valid. This will be influenced by the number of claims submitted by individual pharmacies, and the types and frequency of errors detected.

PV will always consult with NHS Boards when Patient records are to be examined with a view to working jointly whenever possible.

6 Liaison with NHS Boards and Counter Fraud Services (CFS)

For all categories of pharmaceutical payments, it is important that any matters of concern arising from the work undertaken by PV are acted upon quickly and appropriately.

Payment Verification at PSD (Pharmacy) will therefore supply quarterly reports to NHS Boards, detailing the verification work that they have undertaken.

If this work highlights any areas for concern, this will immediately be notified to both NHS Boards and the CFS. Consultation will then take place between all three parties, and a decision made as to how the matter will be taken forward, in line with the CFS/NHS Board Partnership Agreement.